

Message

From: Reed, Charles@Waterboards [Charles.Reed@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: 5/19/2014 10:46:29 PM
To: Sablad, Elizabeth [Sablade.Elizabeth@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Eureka Biosolids

Thank you.

From: Sablad, Elizabeth [mailto:Sablade.Elizabeth@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 1:17 PM
To: Reed, Charles@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Eureka Biosolids

Well, it isn't really. We were teleworking last week and I haven't had a chance to work with Lauren on it yet. We just moved in to our "temporary" space today, so we're working on settling in. Hopefully we can move this forward this week and next. I'll keep you updated.

-Elizabeth

Elizabeth Sablad
NPDES Permits Office
U.S. EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne St. (WTR-5)
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3044
sablade.elizabeth@epa.gov

From: Reed, Charles@Waterboards [mailto:Charles.Reed@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 1:13 PM
To: Sablad, Elizabeth
Subject: RE: Eureka Biosolids

May I inquire how this is going?

From: Sablad, Elizabeth [mailto:Sablade.Elizabeth@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2014 8:59 AM
To: Reed, Charles@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Eureka Biosolids

Hi Charles,
Just received direction from Dave – we will work on a letter than we can send after you send yours, and Dave also wanted to provide some recommendations on your letter, so I'll work with Lauren on that and get back to you.

-Elizabeth

Elizabeth Sablad
NPDES Permits Office
U.S. EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne St. (WTR-5)
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3044
sablade.elizabeth@epa.gov

From: Reed, Charles@Waterboards [<mailto:Charles.Reed@waterboards.ca.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2014 7:51 AM
To: Sablad, Elizabeth
Subject: Eureka Biosolids

I'm free this morning to talk.

The direction I'm getting is that EPA should be taking the lead on the Eureka biosolids because this discharge must be covered under a NPDES permit and if this were to be permitted, it should be an EPA-issued permit. Our rationale is that we don't implement the 503 regulations on which the permit would be based.

Mona recalls some prior level of commitment from Dave Smith to lead on this, if only to say that the project is not able to be permitted. For whatever reason, that clear project rejection was not expressed by you and Lauren at our last joint phone conference with the project proponents (Eureka and SHN). I foolishly volunteered to draft a letter explaining what was needed to permit that the project. I have a draft ready.

Mona is suggesting that I forward my draft letter to you and Lauren to use in your correspondence with the project proponents, as opposed to you commenting on our letter and us sending it out to the project proponents.

Charles